

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

IP COMMUNICATION SOLUTIONS, LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	C.A. No. 16-132-GMS
v.)	
)	
LINE2, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
IP COMMUNICATION SOLUTIONS, LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	C.A. No. 16-133-GMS
v.)	
)	
TANGOME, INC., d/b/a TANGO,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
IP COMMUNICATION SOLUTIONS, LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	C.A. No. 16-134-GMS
v.)	
)	
VIBER MEDIA (USA), INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>		
IP COMMUNICATION SOLUTIONS, LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	C.A. No. 16-227-GMS
v.)	
)	
AT&T SERVICES, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

**STIPULATED ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY,
INCLUDING DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY STORED INFORMATION
("ESI")**

1. General Provisions

a. **Cooperation.** Parties are expected to reach agreements cooperatively on how to conduct discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26-36. In the event that the parties are unable to agree on the parameters and/or timing of discovery, the following default standards shall apply until further order of the Court or the parties reach agreement.

b. **Proportionality.** Parties are expected to use reasonable, good faith and proportional efforts to preserve, identify and produce relevant information.¹ This includes identifying appropriate limits to discovery, including limits on custodians, identification of relevant subject matter, time periods for discovery and other parameters to limit and guide preservation and discovery issues.

c. **Preservation of Discoverable Information.** A party has a common law obligation to take reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession, custody or control.

(i) Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not be required to modify, on a going-forward basis, the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back up and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve the non-duplicative discoverable information currently in their possession, custody or control.

(ii) Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the categories of ESI identified in Schedule A attached hereto need not be preserved.

¹ Information can originate in any form, including ESI and paper, and is not limited to information created or stored electronically.

d. Privilege.

(i) The parties are to confer on the nature and scope of privilege logs for the case, including whether categories of information may be excluded from any logging requirements and whether alternatives to document-by-document logs can be exchanged.

(ii) With respect to information generated after the filing of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.

(iii) Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

(iv) Parties shall confer on an appropriate non-waiver order under Fed. R. Evid. 502. Until a non-waiver order is entered, information that contains privileged matter or attorney work product shall be immediately returned if such information appears on its face to have been inadvertently produced or if notice is provided within 30 days of inadvertent production.

2. Initial Discovery Conference.

a. **Timing.** Consistent with the guidelines that follow, the parties shall discuss the parameters of their anticipated discovery at the initial discovery conference (the "Initial Discovery Conference") pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), which shall take place before the Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 scheduling conference ("Rule 16 Conference").

b. **Content.** The parties shall discuss the following:

(i) The issues, claims and defenses asserted in the case that define the scope of discovery.

(ii) The likely sources of potentially relevant information (i.e., the "discoverable information"), including witnesses, custodians and other data sources (e.g., paper files, email, databases, servers, etc.).

- (iii) Technical information, including the exchange of production formats.
- (iv) The existence and handling of privileged information.
- (v) The categories of ESI that should be preserved.

3. **Initial Disclosures.** Within 30 days after entry of the Scheduling Order, each party shall disclose:

a. **Custodians.** The 10 custodians most likely to have discoverable information in their possession, custody or control, from the most likely to the least likely. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, role in the instant dispute, and the subject matter of the information.

b. **Non-custodial data sources.**² A list of the non-custodial data sources that are most likely to contain non-duplicative discoverable information for preservation and production consideration, from the most likely to the least likely.

c. **Notice.** The parties shall identify any issues relating to:

(i) Any ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(C)(i).

(ii) Third-party discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 and otherwise, including the timing and sequencing of such discovery.

(iii) Production of information subject to privacy protections, including information that may need to be produced from outside of the United States and subject to foreign laws.

² That is, a system or container that stores ESI, but over which an individual custodian does not organize, manage or maintain the ESI in the system or container (e.g., enterprise system or database).

Lack of proper notice of such issues may result in a party losing the ability to pursue or to protect such information.

4. Initial Discovery in Patent Litigation.³

a. By September 9, 2016 and for each defendant,⁴ the plaintiff shall specifically identify the accused products⁵ and the asserted patent(s) they allegedly infringe, and produce the file history for each asserted patent.

b. By October 7, 2016, each defendant shall produce to the plaintiff the core technical documents related to the accused product(s), including but not limited to operation manuals, product literature, schematics, and specifications.

c. By October 21, 2016, plaintiff shall produce to each defendant an initial claim chart relating each accused product to the asserted claims each product allegedly infringes.

d. By December 13, 2016, each defendant shall produce to the plaintiff its initial invalidity contentions for each asserted claim, as well as the related invalidating references (e.g., publications, manuals and patents).

e. Absent a showing of good cause, follow-up discovery shall be limited to a term of 6 years before the filing of the complaint, except that discovery related to asserted prior art or the conception and reduction to practice of the inventions claimed in any patent-in-suit shall not be so limited.

³ As these disclosures are "initial," each party shall be permitted to supplement.

⁴ For ease of reference, "defendant" is used to identify the alleged infringer and "plaintiff" to identify the patentee.

⁵ For ease of reference, the word "product" encompasses accused methods and systems as well.

5. Specific E-Discovery Issues.

a. **On-site inspection of electronic media.** Such an inspection shall not be permitted absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause.

b. **Search methodology.** If the producing party elects to use search terms to locate potentially responsive ESI, it shall disclose the search terms to the requesting party. Absent a showing of good cause, a requesting party may request no more than 10 additional terms to be used in connection with the electronic search. Focused terms, rather than over-broad terms (e.g., product and company names), shall be employed. The producing party shall search (i) the non-custodial data sources identified in accordance with paragraph 3(b); and (ii) emails⁶ and other ESI maintained by the custodians identified in accordance with paragraph 3(a).

c. **Format.** ESI and non-ESI shall be produced to the requesting party as text searchable image files (e.g., PDF or TIFF). When a text-searchable image file is produced, the producing party must preserve the integrity of the underlying ESI, i.e., the original formatting, the metadata (as noted below) and, where applicable, the revision history. The parties shall produce their information in the following format: single page TIFF images and associated multi-page text files containing extracted text or OCR with Concordance and Opticon load files containing all requisite information including relevant metadata.

d. **Native files.** The only files that should be produced in native format are files not easily converted to image format, such as Excel and Access files.

e. **Metadata fields.** The parties are only obligated to provide the following metadata for all ESI produced, to the extent such metadata exists: Custodian, File Path, Email Subject, Conversation Index, From, To, CC, BCC, Date Sent, Time Sent, Date Received, Time Received,

⁶ Plaintiff and Defendant Line2 agree to further meet and confer regarding the need for email discovery and searching in C.A. No. 16-132-GMS.

Filename, Author, Date Created, Date Modified, MD5 Hash, File Size, File Extension, Control Number Begin, Control Number End, Attachment Range, Attachment Begin, and Attachment End (or the equivalent thereof).

DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC

By: /s/ Timothy Devlin
Timothy Devlin (#4241)
1306 N. Broom Street, 1st Floor
Wilmington, DE 19806
tdevlin@devlinlawfirm.com
Phone: (302) 449-9010

*Attorneys for Plaintiff IP Communications
Solutions, LLC*

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

By: Stephanie E. O'Byrne
David E. Moore (#3983)
Bindu A. Palapura (#5370)
Stephanie E. O'Byrne (#4446)
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor
1313 N. Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
dmoore@potteranderson.com
bpalapura@potteranderson.com
sobyne@potteranderson.com
Phone: (302) 984-6000

Attorneys for Defendant Line 2, Inc.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

By: /s/ Rodger D. Smith II
Rodger D. Smith II (#3778)
1201 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
rsmith@mnat.com
Phone: (302) 658-9200

Attorneys for TangoMe, Inc. d/b/a Tango

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

By: /s/ Rodger D. Smith II
Rodger D. Smith II (#3778)
1201 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
rsmith@mnat.com
Phone: (302) 658-9200

Attorneys for Defendant Viber Media (USA), Inc.

SHAW KELLER LLP

By: John W. Shaw
John W. Shaw (#3362)
Jeffrey T. Castellano (#4837)
Andrew E. Russell (#5382)
300 Delaware Ave., Suite 1120
jshaw@shawkeller.com
jcastellano@shawkeller.com
arussell@shawkeller.com
Phone: (302) 298-0700

Attorneys for Defendant AT&T Services, Inc.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this _____ day of September 2016.

U.S.D.J.

1233356/43224

SCHEDULE A

1. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
2. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
3. On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and the like.
4. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last opened dates.
5. Back-up data that are substantially duplicative of data that are more accessible elsewhere.
6. Voice messages.
7. Instant messages that are not ordinarily printed or maintained in a server dedicated to instant messaging.
8. Electronic mail or pin-to-pin messages sent to or from mobile devices (e.g., iPhone and Blackberry devices), provided that a copy of such mail is routinely saved elsewhere.
9. Other electronic data stored on a mobile device, such as calendar or contact data or notes, provided that a copy of such information is routinely saved elsewhere.
10. Logs of calls made from mobile devices.
11. Server, system or network logs.
12. Electronic data temporarily stored by laboratory equipment or attached electronic equipment, provided that such data is not ordinarily preserved as part of a laboratory report.
13. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the systems in use.